

GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISISON

“Shrama Shakti Bhavan”, Ground Floor, Patto Plaza, Panaji – Goa.

CORAM: Smt. Leena Mehendale, State Chief Information Commissioner

Appeal 91/SCIC/2011

Decided on: 16/05/2014

Shri. Franky Monteiro,
House No. 501, Devote,
Loutolim, Salcete- Goa.

----- Appellant

V/s

1. The Public Information Officer,
The Chief General Manager
Goa Industrial Development Corporation,
EDC Complex, Patto Plaza, Panaji – Goa.

----- Respondent No. 1

2. The First Appellate Authority,
The Managing Director,
Goa Industrial Development Corporation,
EDC Complex, Patto Plaza, Panaji – Goa.

----- Respondent No. 2

ORDER

RTI application dated : 29/11/2010
PIO reply dated : 11/02/2011, 15/02/2011
First Appeal dated : 21/02/2011
FAA Order dated : 31/03/2011
Second Appeal dated : 03/05/2011

This Second Appeal is filed on 03/05/2011 and it arises out of original RTI application made to the PIO of Goa Industrial Development Corporation presented to their office on 14/01/2011.

Briefly stating, the Appellant asking for inspection of file and certified copies in respect of the minutes for Committee meeting of the GIDC from 01/01/2006 till date. The application has 21 questions and some were replied by the PIO on the payment of ₹ 776/-

The first reply of the PIO asking to pay the fees towards the documents was itself written on 11/02/2011 which is within 30 days allowed to the PIO to give the information. Reply was given after payment. However, replies to Question No. 6-11, and 13-17 were denied on the grounds that no specific plot No of the Industrial Estate was mentioned.

The First Appellate Authority directed the PIO on 31/03/2011 to give information sought by the appellant on 6,7,8,9,10,11,13,14,15,16,17 within 10days However , the information was not received by the Appellant within the prescribed time, he has filed the 2nd Appeal on 03/05/2010.

From the filed records I find that while the hearing was in progress, the then PIO Shri S. A Belwadi had sent his letter No GOA-IDC/RTIA/F-117/11-12/2223 dated 28/09/2011 which gives replies to question No. 06-11 and question No. 13-17 as directed by the FAA.

Thereafter the Appellant has remained absent on all hearings except on 18/01/2012 and on 05/07/2012 but on both the days the case was adjourned. Thereafter the post of SCIC remained vacant till end of October 2013 although the parties were given dates in all the matters so as to maintain continuity. After the court of SCIC was resumed in November 2013, the Appellant has still remained absent. Hence on 16/05/2014, the matter was taken up for decision on the basis of records. Since the Appellant has received information as directed by FAA on 28/09/2011, it can be logically presumed that he is satisfied with the reply.

Hence the Appeal is dismissed and closed. Inform the parties.

Sd/-
(**Leena Mehendale**)
Goa State Chief Information Commissioner
Goa State Information Commission
Panaji-Goa